



Doping of chalcopyrites by hydrogen

Çetin Kılıç and Alex Zunger

Citation: [Applied Physics Letters](#) 83, 2007 (2003); doi: 10.1063/1.1608494

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1608494>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/83/10?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[First-principles study of carbon impurities in CuInSe₂ and CuGaSe₂, present in non-vacuum synthesis methods](#)
J. Appl. Phys. 117, 015104 (2015); 10.1063/1.4905538

[Exploring the p-n junction region in Cu\(In,Ga\)Se₂ thin-film solar cells at the nanometer-scale](#)
Appl. Phys. Lett 101, 181603 (2012); 10.1063/1.4764527

[Na-induced variations in the structural, optical, and electrical properties of Cu \(In , Ga \) Se 2 thin films](#)
J. Appl. Phys. 106, 034908 (2009); 10.1063/1.3190528

[Halogen n -type doping of chalcopyrite semiconductors](#)
Appl. Phys. Lett 86, 042109 (2005); 10.1063/1.1854218

[Localization of Na impurities at the buried CdS/Cu\(In,Ga\)Se 2 heterojunction](#)
Appl. Phys. Lett 75, 2082 (1999); 10.1063/1.124923

Doping of chalcopyrites by hydrogen

Çetin Kılıç^{a)} and Alex Zunger^{b)}

National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado 80401

Received 18 April 2003; accepted 9 July 2003)

First-principles total-energy calculations for hydrogen impurities in CuInSe₂ (CIS) and CuGaSe₂ (CGS) show that H⁺ takes up the Cu–Se bond center position, whereas H⁰ and H[−] take up tetrahedral interstitial site next to In in CIS) or Ga in CGS). Hydrogen creates a negative-U center (i.e., H⁰ is never stable), with a (+/−) transition level at $E_c - 0.39$ eV in CIS, and $E_c - 0.57$ eV in CGS. However, once combined with the $2V_{Cu}^- + III_{Cu}^{2+}$ complex, hydrogen forms shallower centers with transition levels at $E_c - 0.15$ eV in CIS, and $E_c - 0.39$ eV in CGS. We conclude that hydrogen could convert CIS to *n* type, but not CGS. © 2003 American Institute of Physics.
[DOI: 10.1063/1.1608494]

The doping of chalcopyrite semiconductors CuInSe₂ and CuGaSe₂ used in solar cells is usually done via stoichiometry control:¹ *p*-type samples are made from Cu-deficient material (since V_{Cu} is an acceptor), whereas *n*-type samples are attempted via Se-deficient material (since V_{Se} is a donor). Such doping via stoichiometry control during growth has weaknesses: it forces growth conditions that are less than ideal for sample morphology; it often creates insufficient doping especially *n*

structures,¹⁶ is obtained. The supercell size was twice as big as in earlier LDA calculations¹⁷ for intrinsic defects in CuInSe₂.

The defect transition energies, e.g., acceptor $E_{\text{H}}(0/-)$ is calculated as the value of Fermi energy in Eq. 1) where the energy to form the neutral defect equals that of the negatively charged defect. This energy does not depend on the chemical potentials. The effect of the “LDA band gap error”

tems where the negatively charged) copper vacancies pre-exist, e.g., in Cu-poor, p -type $\text{Cu}(\text{In,Ga})\text{Se}_2$, hydrogen will be incorporated next to copper vacancy, forming the $(\text{V}_{\text{Cu}} + \text{H})^0$ defect complex. Since neutral $(\text{V}_{\text{Cu}} + \text{H})^0$