SELF-CONSISTENT LCAO LOCAL DENSITY DETERMINATION OF ANISOTROPIC COMPTON PROFILE AND X-RAY STRUCTURE FACTORS IN DIAMOND*

A. ZUNGER and A.J. FREEMAN

Physics Department and Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201, USA

Received 17 May 1976

Our newly developed fully Self-Consistent Numerical Discrete Variational Method (which exactly treats all nonspherical parts of the crystal potential) yields X-ray scattering factors and anisotropies in the Compton profile of diamond in very good agreement with experiment.

Considerable interest exists in the predictions of the local density formalism (LDF) [1] on the ground state properties of solids, e.g. cohesive energies [2], X-ray form factors $[3, 4]$ and Compton profiles $[5]$. LDF based calculations are usually beset with the difficulties of solving self-consistently the associated one-particle equation characterized by a multi-center non-spherical potential and hence, a variety of approximations have been introduced to reduce the complexity of the problem, LCAO-type calculations $[4, 5]$ have overcome the difficulty of treating non-muffin-tin potentials and have demonstrated that efficient convergence with respect to the size of the basis set [4] can be obtained. However, the problem of carrying this type of calculation or self-consistency (SC) still remains a formidable task. Although these methods are capable of yielding reasonable results for the eigenvalues, an accurate evaluation of ground state functionals of the electron density is still non-trivial.

directional Compton profile for diamond. All non-
spherical parts of the crystal potential are treated exactly using an efficient numerical LCAO basis set and a numerical Diophantine integration scheme. Local density exchange and correlation are incorpo-

of accurate *numerical* basis functions because we domated directly into the crystal potential and full self-

not employ any analytic algorithms for calculating rated directly into the crystal potential and full self-
consistency is obtained. The resulting X-ray form consistency is obtained. The resulting X-ray form ME. Thus our $\chi^{\alpha}_{\mu}(r)$ are determined as numerical solu-
factors are in good agreement with experiment [8, 9] tions of the atomic potential equivalent of eq. (1).

and with previous Hartree–Fock calculations [10]. While the non-SC Compton profile is too high at low momenta and lacks some high momentum components, the fully self-consistent results agree very well with experiment. Unlike the Hartree-Fock results, the anisotropy of the profile is found to be in reasonable agreement with experiment.

For the crystal problem, the general potential is given by

$$
V(r) = V_{\text{coul}}(r) + F_{\text{ex}}[\rho_{\text{sup}}(r)] + F_{\text{corr}}[\rho_{\text{sup}}(r)] \tag{1}
$$

with the exchange, F_{ex} , and correlation, F_{corr} , potentials given in terms of the local density functions written in terms of superposed overlapping atomic densities, $\rho_{\text{sup}}(r)$. We use the free-electron $\rho^{1/3}$ exchange potential for F_{ex} and the correlation energy functional of Singwi et al. [11] as fitted to analytic form by Hedin and Lundqvist [12]. We do not spherically average $V(r)$ or linearize the local density In this paper we apply our newly developed [6] functionals. The crystal wave functions $\psi_j(K, r)$ are self-consistent numerical discrete variational method expanded in terms of Bloch functions $\Phi_n^{\alpha}(K, r)$ [wh self-consistent numerical discrete variational method expanded in terms of Bloch functions $\Phi^{\alpha}_{\mu}(K,r)$ [which (DVM) [7] to study the X-ray scattering factors and are given in terms of LCAO basis orbitals χ^{α}_{μ}]. are given in terms of LCAO basis orbitals χ^{α}_{μ} . Unlike previous efforts which used simple analytic basis functions to overcome difficulties in calculating many-
center integrals appearing in matrix elements (ME) of $V(r)$, we are able to exploit the variational efficiency of accurate *numerical* basis functions because we do tions of the atomic potential equivalent of eq. (1). Details of the method, and evidence for the varia-
tional superiority of even a minimal set (e.g., 1s, 2s and 2p) to a double-zeta Slater basis are given elsewhere

^{*} Supported by the National Science Foundation (through Grants DMR72-03019, DMR71-03101, and DMR74-18634).