Thus, the activity variables

wherew_{ik} * a_k is a convolution operator

representing the effective drive to populational locational received from populational. Writing the network of synaptic interactions as a spatial convolution gives a more general debrition of the geometry of the network than discrete neural network models that use matrices to describe connectivity (McCulloch and Pitts 943, Hopbeld 1984). Typical weight functions are the Gaussians

$$w_{jk} \delta x \quad y \vdash \frac{1}{k} k_{jk} e^{\delta x \quad y \beta = s_k^2}$$
 (5)

which represent a distance-dependent decay in cortical connectivity. The spatial corrainbe of arbitrary dimension and size, but it is usually taken to be one or two dimensional as we describe below. The nonlinearities, are often sigmoids Eq2), and refractoriness is modeled by the term [1£r;a;] as before. We note that in Eq. (3), it is possible to track the spatiotemporal evolution of inputs, not just the temporal evolution. A key assumption in deriving Eq. (3) is that the intricacies in Þring rate variation that occur on very Þne spatiotemporal scales can be coarse-grained (Wilson and Cowan1973). This results in a system of partial integrodifferential equations that are amenable to mathematical analysis (Bresslof012)

The Wilson-Cowan model Eq. (3) has been extended in many ways to account for the rich diversity of currents, synaptic processes, and \$ uctuations present in the brain. Spike rate adaptation was considered by Hansel and Sompolins (8), who showed that this resulted in traveling waves of neural activity. Similar phenomena arise upon considering the effects of short-term plasticity (Kilpatrick and Bressloff 2010), which dynamically modulates the strength of the synaptic weight function \mathbf{w}_{jk}